Loop Blow-up Inflation

Arthur Hebecker (Heidelberg)

based on work with Michele Cicoli, Sukruti Bansal, Luca Brunelli, Ruben Küspert

<u>Outline</u>

- Introduction: The LVS and its flat directions.
- Blow-up Inflation and loop corrections.
- Our proposal: Loop Blow-up Inflation.
- Inflationary and Reheating Phenomenology.

The LVS

Balasubramanian/Berglund/Conlon/Quevedo '05

- Start with flux-stabilized type-IIB CY orientifold with O3/O7
 ⇒ No-scale Minkowski vacuum ('GKP').
- Stabilization of Kahler moduli $T_j = \tau_j + ic_j$ based on:

$$W = W_0 + e^{-T_s}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = -\ln\left(\mathcal{V} + \xi/g_s^{3/2}\right)$$
 with $\mathcal{V} = \tau_b^{3/2} - \tau_s^{3/2}$



The LVS (continued)

• The standard supergravity formula $V = e^{K}(|DW|^{2} - 3|W|^{2})$ then gives

$$V \sim |W_0|^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau_s} e^{-2\tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}} - \frac{\tau_s e^{-\tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}^2} + \frac{\xi}{\mathcal{V}^3 g_s^{3/2}} \right)$$

stabilizing au_s and ${\mathcal V}$ according to

$$au_{s} \sim \xi^{2/3}/g_{s} \qquad ext{and} \qquad \mathcal{V} \sim \exp(au_{s}) \,.$$

• Finally, this needs to be 'uplifted' to (near) Minkowski or dS:

$$V \longrightarrow V + \frac{(\text{small } \#)}{\mathcal{V}^{k}}$$

,

An Aside on Uplifts

- It is well-known that getting a small uplift is hard.
- This causes severe problems for KKLT

Carta/Moritz/Westphal '19, Gao/AH/Junghans '20

• ... but also the LVS is has related control problems...

Junghans, AH/Schreyer/Venken '22

 especially in view of the curvature corrections in the KS-throat AH/Schreyer/Venken, Schreyer/Venken '22, Schreyer '24

Nevertheless, for the purpose of this talk, I will assume some form of uplift can be realized.

- For example, one may think of the
 - complex-structure F-term term uplift
 Saltman/Silverstein '04, ..., Gallego/Marsh/Vercknocke/Wrase '17, AH/Leonhardt '20, Krippendorf/Schachner '23
 - T-brane uplift, etc.
 - Controlling small cycles

Cicoli/Quevedo/Valandro '15

McAllister/Moritz/Nally/Schachner '24

Inflation

- Given an uplifted flux vacuum, slow-roll inflation represents a significant additional challenge.
- The LVS has a good 'built-in' starting point in the form of 'flat Kahler directions'.
- Indeed, including more 'big-cycle-type' Kahler moduli gives:

$$\mathcal{V} = au_b^{3/2} - au_s^{3/2} \qquad
ightarrow \qquad \mathcal{V} = ilde{\mathcal{V}}(au_i) - au_s^{3/2}$$

• The LVS-potential stabilizes only au_s and $ilde{\mathcal{V}}\simeq au_b^{3/2}.$

Ratios τ_i/τ_j of additional 'big' cycles remain unfixed.

• This observation underlies many models of inflation...

Conlon/Quevedo '05, Bond/Kofman/... '06, Cicoli/Burgess/Quevedo '08, Cicoli/Ciupke/de Alwis/Muia '16,, Bera/Chakraborty/Leontaris/Shukla'24 Simplest version:

Blow-up Inflation

Conlon/Quevedo '05



- Large $\tau_{\phi} \Rightarrow$ flat potential
- Small $\tau_{\phi} \Rightarrow$ non-perturbative stabilization (just like τ_s)

Blow-up Inflation: Potential



• Well-known: Loop corrections endanger Blow-up Inflation.

Conlon/Quevedo, Cicoli/Burgess/Quevedo

Need to consider loop corrections in detail!

• Can be estimated as 10d field theory loops on CY.

```
Gersdorff/AH '05
```

• Can be calculated explicitly for torus orbifolds.

Berg/Haack/Körs '05 More discussions and comparative analysis: Berg/Haack/Pajer, Cicoli/Conlon/Quevedo, Gao/AH/Schreyer/Venken

We want to work on CY. ⇒ Need field-theoretic approach.
 Let τ be generic Kahler modulus:

$$\mathcal{L}_{tree} \sim rac{(\partial au)^2}{ au^2} \ \
ightarrow \ \ \mathcal{L}_{tree+loop} \sim \left(1 + rac{M_{KK}^2}{M_4^2}
ight) rac{(\partial au)^2}{ au^2}$$

Here: M_{KK} – UV-cutoff implied by 10d SUSY. $1/M_4$ – Coupling constant of KK-mode theory. Loop Corrections (continued)

- With R a generic CY length scale, it follow that loop corrections enjoy a relative suppression by $1/R^8$.
- Now focus specifically on the blowup modulus au_{ϕ} .

(We ignore τ_s , treating it as fixed.)



• The specific blow-up geometry implies that, before Weyl rescaling to 4d Einstein-frame, τ_{ϕ} appears as part of a 'sequestered sector':

$$\mathcal{L}_{\textit{Brans-Dicke}} \sim k(au_{\phi}) (\partial au_{\phi})^2$$

A volume dependence arises only after Weyl rescaling:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\textit{Einstein}} \, \sim \, k(au_\phi) \, (\partial au_\phi)^2 / \mathcal{V} \, .$

 The known tree-level kinetic term and the 1/R⁸ suppression discussed above fix k(τ_φ):

$${\cal L}_{\it Einstein}\,\sim\, {1\over \sqrt{ au_{\phi}}}\, \left(1+{1\over au_{\phi}^2}
ight)\, (\partial au_{\phi})^2/{\cal V}\,.$$

• This integrates to a Kahler potential correction:

$$\delta K \sim rac{1}{\mathcal{V}\sqrt{ au_{\phi}}}.$$

Gao/AH/Schreyer/Venken '22

(This is consistent with what BHP call a 'winding mode correction'. But we claim it arises in any $\mathcal{N}=1$ situation, also in the absence of D7-branes.)

- More precisely: We do need at least a local O3, such that SUSY is locally recuded to $\mathcal{N} = 1$:
- Important question:

Can we avoid this loop correction by insisting on a local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ geometry, i.e. no nearby O3's?



Answer:

No, since then the crucial $\exp(-\tau_{\phi})$ terms would not arise (we need this term for the minimum which we reheat in).

<u>Comment:</u> Fluxes can not create the required $\exp(-\tau_{\phi})$ terms in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ geometries due to \mathcal{V} -scaling and holomorphicity constraints. cf. e.g. Conlon/Quevedo '05 Result:

$$V_{inf} ~\sim~ rac{1}{\mathcal{V}^3} \, \left(\mathcal{V}^2 \sqrt{ au_{\phi}} \, e^{-2 au_{\phi}} ~-~ \mathcal{V} \, au_{\phi} \, e^{- au_{\phi}} ~-~ rac{ extsf{c}_{loop}}{\sqrt{ au_{\phi}}}
ight) \,.$$

 The numerical coefficient can be estimated using the torus calculations of BHK (cf. Gao et al.) or simply using 4d 1-loop logic:

$$c_{loop} \in \left\{ \sim rac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \cdots rac{1}{16\pi^2}
ight\}$$

- With these numbers, Blow-up inflation is in trouble!
- Potential way out: Go to much larger τ_{ϕ} .

(This was mentioned but not analysed in Cicoli/Quevedo '11.)

Illustration of the resulting potential

(c_{loop} chosen much too large for better visibility; the condition $c_{loop} > 0$ must be fulfilled.)



<u>From now on:</u> Use canonical field $\phi \sim \tau_{\phi}^{3/4}/\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}$. <u>Note:</u>

- $\phi \sim 1$ is the largest allowed value since it implies $au_{\phi} \sim au_{b}$.
- $\phi \sim 1/\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}$ is the 'small- ϕ regime', where non-perturbative effects create a minimum.

The potential relevant for inflation reads:

$$V(\phi) ~\sim ~ rac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3} \left(1 ~-~ rac{\delta}{\phi^{2/3}}
ight) \qquad ext{with} \qquad \delta \equiv rac{ extsf{c}_{loop}}{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}}\,.$$

(Here all $\mathcal{O}(1)$ factors have been suppressed.)

The inflationary parameters are derived straigthforwardly:

$$\begin{split} \epsilon &\sim \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{V'^2}{V^2} \sim \frac{\delta^2}{\phi^{10/3}} \qquad , \qquad \eta \sim \frac{V''}{V} \sim \frac{\delta}{\phi^{8/3}} \\ n_s &- 1 \, \sim \, \frac{\delta}{\phi^{8/3}} \qquad , \qquad A_s \sim \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3} \cdot \frac{\phi^{10/3}}{\delta^2} \ . \end{split}$$

 They come together with a known number of e-foldings between the field-value φ and the (much smaller) φ_{reheat}:

$$N \sim rac{\phi^{8/3}}{\delta} \; .$$

• Non-trivial: Need to find 'CMB-value' of $\phi = \phi_*$ and \mathcal{V} matching all data and theory constraints.

Competing requirements:

- Slow-roll needs large ${\cal V}$
- But large \mathcal{V} makes A_s too small.
- One may countract this by taking W_0 to its maximal value, prescribed by $N_{tadpole}$

Thus, trading W_0 for $N_{tadpole} \leq 252$, we find:

$$\phi \sim \left[A_s N_e^7 c_{loop}^9 / N_{tadpole}
ight]^{1/22} \quad , \quad \mathcal{V} \sim \left[N_e^5 N_{tadpole}^4 / A_s^4 c_{loop}^3
ight]^{1/11}$$

(For $\mathcal{O}(1)$ factors see paper.)

- Based on this analytical understanding, explicit solutions satisfying all constraints are easily found:
- For example, taking $\textit{c}_{loop} \sim 1/16\pi^2$ and $\textit{N}_{tadpole} \sim 50$ gives:

 $\phi_* \sim 0.02 \, N_e^{7/22} \sim 0.2 \qquad, \qquad \mathcal{V} \sim 1700 \, N_e^{5/11} \sim 10^4 \,.$

(Here we used the a posteriori reasonable value $N_e \simeq 50$.)

• It turns out that the most critical parameter is the spectral index:

$$n_s \simeq 1 - {5/4 \over N_e} \simeq 0.975 \, .$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ★ 圖▶ ★ 圖▶ / 圖 / のへで

• At the 2σ -level, this agrees with the CMB-result:

 $n_s = 0.967 \pm 0.004$

• This is excellent! But can we be more precise?

(1) – Possible theory improvements:

- The prediction $n_s \simeq 1 \frac{5/4}{N_e}$ depends only on the functional form $V \sim 1 - \frac{\delta}{\phi^{2/3}}$.
- But this form may be modified by terms of higher order in $\tau_{\phi}/\mathcal{V}^{2/3}$:

$$V \sim 1 - \delta \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\phi^{2/3}} + a + b \phi^{2/3} + \cdots \right]$$

- Here we assumed analyticity in 2-cycle variables. But is this justified?
- The sign and size of **b** need to be determined.
 - ⇒ Much more could be achieved at the price of further research on loop effects.

(2) – Possible improvements from reheating / phenomenology:

 $\rightarrow\,$ cf. parallel talk by Luca Brunelli

(2.A) – Number of e-foldings

- We can be more precise about N_e by studying reheating.
- Require assumptions about detailed brane setup (e.g. SM)
- The number $N_e = 50$ used above turns out to be fairly robust.

(2.B) – Dark radiation can help

- Crucial observation: n_s(CMB, ΔN_{eff} = 0.36) = 0.983 ± 0.006 deviates from our prediction by 1.2 σ in the opposite direction.
- Some of the most natural settings produce the right amount of dark radiation to match CMB data perfectly.

Reheating after Loop Blow-up Inflation



 We studied reheating and dark radiation production in various settings, following in particular

Cicoli/Mazumdar '10, Cicoli/Licheri/Piantadosi/Quevedo/Shukla '23

• Crucial in some scenarios: Fast \mathcal{V} -decay to SM through loops helps avoiding dark-radiation overproduction.

Cicoli/AH/Jaeckel/Wittner '22

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Summary / Conclusions

- LVS Kahler moduli sector has (relatively) flat directions.
- Specifically, an additional blowup modulus is an excellent inflaton candidate
- However, loop corrections spoil slow-roll.
- Slow roll is regained in a new regime, at much larger τ_{ϕ} and with a power-like potential.
- Quite non-trivially, one finds regions in parameter space with calculational control and almost perfect pheno.

For more cf. talk by Luca Brunelli